

Boston, MA 02210

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFRMATION NO.

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
3723

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

04/08/2008 PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s) |       |  |
|------------------|--------------|-------|--|
| 09/707,852       | JURY ET AL.  | T AL. |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit     |       |  |
| David A. Redding | 3723         |       |  |

|   | David A. Red   | ding  | 3723   |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication  | ppears on the co   | ver sheet with the  | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI  | S APPLICATION IN   | CONDITION FOR   | ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to a<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with<br/>periods:</li> </ol>   | r on the same day<br>ving replies: (1) an a<br>Appeal (with appea                                  | as filing a Notice of a<br>mendment, affidavi<br>I fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>it, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of 1 no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (8).   | his Advisory Action, on<br>hire later than SIX MC<br>or (b). ONLY CHEC                             | r (2) the date set forth<br>NTHS from the mailing                     | g date of the final rejectio   | n.                                       |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The<br>have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office<br>may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL | date on which the pet<br>of extension and the of<br>the shortened statuto<br>later than three mont | orresponding amount<br>ry period for reply origi                      | of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Offic                 | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in c<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file</li> </ol>  | extension thereof (3   | 37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the   |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require furthe</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or</li> </ul> </li> </ol>   | r consideration and<br>below);   | /or search (see NO  | TE below);   |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without cancelin   |  | number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR   | 1.121. See attache   | ed Notice of Non-Co   | mpliant Amendment (F   | PTOL-324).                               |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>  | n(s):  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | e allowable if subm  | nitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendmer  | t canceling the                          |
| 7. \(\times\) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 166.167.184.183.189 and 199.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   | ll be entered and an ex  | planation of                             |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of f<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is nece:</li> </ol>  | to overcome all rej  | ections under appea   | al and/or appellant fails  | to provide a                             |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explar<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | ation of the status  | of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attache   | ed.                                      |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considere<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>  | d but does NOT pla   | ice the application in  | ocondition for allowan   | ce because:                              |
| <ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>  | (s). (PTO/SB/08) P   | aper No(s)  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

// David Redding // Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 199 is not illustrated in the drawings and applicants explanation of the invention is not commensurate with the claims.